I begin with a brief discussion of our ideaselff, the view some of the empirical literature, and end with a brief discussion of thousand supported by the empirical work.

II. Four features of our concept of self

There are at least four characteristics of out of three ptf that are include both the ordinary notion and the philosophical concerbegin pas I mentioned above, is there fact that selves explain the unity of a human person. Selves explain have precomplected to their past and future. Bodies change, mental states change, but people tend to obtain some sent reconvert they are three people now that they were in the past and while breture. The connection between past, present and future selves is sometimes called diachror account sort of unity restronic unity — can also be explained by appeal to selves. This is the seast available various experiences that occur at a time are occurring to the same subject. For examplie; the perion of the keyboard, the sound of the cardinal singing outside, the sight of the door their carpet are all happening now to the same person, myself. The various experimensenified in a single subject.

A second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves which is important to los ophers are determined by the second feature of selves are determined by the second feature of the second feature of selves are determined by the second feature of the second

These are not isolated features; they are **restarted** there in various ways. For example, the common American belief in the constancy of character is

the external stimuli, their mental states, or extent their. (Subjects, of course, realize that they are walking, but they don't realize the walking slowly.)

A more dramatic demonstration of the dank exition between conscious and unconscious behavior can be found in the work of Pierres Fround Marc Jeannerod (1998). Fourneret and Jeannerod asked subjects to use a stylus on æthatheceabline represented on a computer screen.

2002, 18). In a meta-analysis, Warlebeam colleagues found that I three Abetter predictor than self-reports for certain topics like Black-White in the meta-animous and intergrotopavior (2002, 28). On other words, a subject's conscioled by elicers are less useful a predibter havior than her unconscious implicit beliefs; moreover, these often will be in conflict.

This is a very small sample of a large imagdity revivure that undermines some of our most cherished beliefs about ourselves. All four harfabitieristics discussible in previous section — unity, agency, personality, and self-awareness — are interestable in section. Much obertavior is driven by unconscious processes that are both inaccessible scirctoospection and inferonflict with our conscious desires. We are less, we set in controsses table in personality, and often plain wrong in our assessments of our selves.

IV. What now?

Two models of the self immediately suggestest immsesponse to the Inprincial literature. According to the Iterature will be selves model, the human mind possesses that end in action and are fairly isolated from one another. This liss the Iterature illusion. When people with normal vision look at the Titchener illusion it that the trickes is bigger than the middle circle surrounded by bigger bischeeing an illusion, the middle circles are the same size. And some part to inthe mind knows this. When we out a or grasping position which is identiced by inclination cases. Some part of our minds is not fooled by the illusion and it is that swhich is in control of grasping behavior. Essentially, the unconscious overrides our conscidues pistience and directs our behavior meuroscientist V. S. Ramachandran uses this example, among others, at least from the first-person perspective.

Endorsing the multiple selves model isutpoognive traditional philosophical pursuit of an account of personal identity. An account of personal identity. An account is model, the self is light in llusion. Our concept of ourselves might be as a single entity in continues but this concept is no single entity that makes decisions, has experience to be account of personal identity. An account of personal identity in continues of personal identity in account of personal identity in account of personal identity in account of personal identity.

⁷This example is discussed in Ramachandran (1998).

⁸A more detailed account of the multiplemsætlæbsan be found in Humphrey and Dennett (1989).

with an evolutionary plastaking our evolutionary past atsing straint for our accents of the self is helpful for many reasons. First, it acts as protocut to tethe constant pull to the valualism. Thinking of ourselves in a dualistic way comes easily to fursionand we need help in resisting entering to reinforces the essentially social nature of hundrians own we think utabout kinds of selves we are. Much of the Western tradition has viewer with the atomistic, individualistic way. Along with many other philosophers, I think the atras been a mistake. Recent empirical work in the social sciences has begun to emphasize how supprisique humans are in their understanding and ability to cooperate with others. Philosophers need to incorporate the general first diour revised conception of the self. Third, making the evolutionary history of humans are in the strain our understanding of our conception of ourselves pushes us to think abrounce human behavior and less train the attention of the that does not result in behavior.

One of the benefits of this approach is the wister disconception appears to lead to more accurate self-knowledge. Recent wo Entity Pronin, Jonah Berger and Manicauki (2007) suggests that we would be well served to start paying more attenution to and less attention to our mental states. In five studies exploring subjects' conformity fixed benessearchers found is the bias when it came to first person attributions of conformity exobtophird person attributions of conformity. That is, subjects considered themselves as less this belief between to conform across a range of situations. More interesting for my purposes, Pronin eina beating atted the sour delies bias. They found evidence across all five studies that the subject bias blat the research tall "the introspective illusion." In brief, the introspectitusions occur when subject sopa attention to their own mental states and not enough attention to their behavior is refraktive is more valuable than introspective information about others' mental state the upshot: more accurate self-assessments are made when a subject discounts her mental state for the introspection.

In conclusion, I hope to have shown that the price of all literature relevant to philosophers' theorizing about the self, that this literatures however primplications for certain conceptions of the self, and also undermines many or stype fison methods that philosophwer selied upon in drawing their accounts.

¹¹Here I am in agreement with Stanovich, whose accou**gntlj**si**ttforme**d by the fact that we are biological organisms shaped by evolutionary forces.

¹²See Paul Bloo*thescartes Baby* for an argument that dualistic thinking orbymærsderaturally to humans across the world.

¹³ See Sarah Blaffer Hrdy, Michael Tomasello, Franslidde Stothager End David Sloan Wilson for empirical work that

focuses on the significance anthesistof human social abilities.

References

Balcetis, Emily Dunning, David and Miller, **R200a**nd "Do Collectivi**sts**ow Themselves Better than Individualist *Barnal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95:6, 1252-1267.

Bargh, John and Chartrand, Tanya (179929) Unbearable Automaticity of Bainengian Psychologist, 54:7, pp. 462-479.

Davies, Martin andr&t, Tony. (eds.) (19**95**) Psychology: The Theory of Mind Debate, Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Doris, John. (2009)kësticism about Persons." Philosolssues 19: Metaethics, 57-91.

Dutton, Donald G. and Aron, Arthu(1974). "Some Evidendefightened Sexual Attraction under Conditions of High Anxiethulinal of Personality and Social Psychology, 30:4, 510-517.

English, Tammy and Chen, Serena (2007). "Culture and Self-Contempta State in its yallity and Social Psychology, 93:3, 478-490.

Fourneret, Pierre and Jeannerod (1998). "Limited coresus monitoring of motor performance in normal subject ** **Zieropsychologia**, 36:11, 1133-1140.

Frankfurt, Harry G. (1971). "Freedom of them billing Concept of a Person." Reprilited in *Importance of What We Care About* (1998) New York: Cambridge University Press, 11-25. Gallagher, Shaun and Shoe arthan (eds.) (1998) billing of the Self. Exeter: Imprint Academic.