

JUDICIAL CAMPAIGNS AND VOTERS’ EXPERIENCE

WILLAMETTE LAW REVIEW

Volume 39:4 Fall 2003

PUBLIC OPINION AND AN ELECTED JUDICIARY: NEW AVENUES FOR REFORM

J. CHRISTOPHER HEAGARTY*

I. INTRODUCTION

To get the bad customs of a country changed and new ones, though better, introduced, it is necessary to first remove the prejudices of the people, enlighten their ignorance, and convince them that their interests will be promoted by the proposed changes; and this is not the work of a day.¹

What was true in 1781, at least in this regard, is certainly true today.

The concept of judicial independence is not new to American political thought. However, in recent years there has been a renewed movement to protect the judicial branch of government at the state level from undue political pressure and from the inappropriate influence of money over judicial elections. Evidence of this movement can be seen in the attention given it by the American Bar Association (ABA),² the formation of a national organization, “Justice at Stake”³ (which is dedicated to this cause) and the frequent

* Executive Director, North Carolina Center for Voter Education, former lobbyist and campaign consultant. BA, Multi-Disciplinary Studies/Political Communication, North Carolina State University, 1992. This commentary was prepared specifically for the *Willamette Law Review Symposium*. Special thanks are extended to Center staff and friends who helped in the shaping of this Article—particularly Jesse Rutledge, Sam Watts, and Paul Ridgeway. The views expressed in this commentary are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or opinions of the Open Society Institute or the Z.

reform proposals. The results help explain why past efforts may have failed and suggest an alternative strategy for reform.